

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA**

In re:	)	Chapter 11
	)	
MERCY HOSPITAL,	)	
IOWA CITY, IOWA, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	Case No. 23-00623C
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered

---

**OBJECTION OF THE ACTING UNITED STATES TRUSTEE TO THE DEBTORS'  
FIFTH MONTHLY FEE APPLICATION OF MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY, LLP**

**JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND STATUTORY PREDICATE**

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§157 and 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1408 and 1409. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). The statutory predicate for the relief sought herein is 11 U.S.C. §§330 and 331, as well as Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2016. The United States Trustee has standing to raise, appear and be heard on this Objection pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §307; 28 U.S.C. § 581 *et seq.*

**PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND**

2. On August 7, 2023, Mercy Iowa City ACO, LLC, Mercy Hospital, Iowa City, Iowa, and Mercy Services Iowa City, Inc., (hereinafter “Mercy” or “Debtors”) filed three individual chapter 11 bankruptcy petitions that are being Jointly Administered under case number 23-00623.

3. The Debtors continue to operate as debtors-in-possession under §§1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

4. McDermott Will & Emery, LLP (McDermott) filed its Application to Employ on August 23, 2023. On September 14, 2023, the Court entered an Order allowing retention of McDermott effective August 7, 2023.

5. On September 14, 2023, the Interim Compensation Order was entered by the Court. Doc. 224. It provided that a Retained Professional may file and serve Monthly Fee Applications and required a 20% holdback of all fees awarded.

6. McDermott reported that it was paid pre-petition \$3,141,170.00 by the Debtors from February 28, 2023, through August 4, 2023. *See* Doc. 189 page 14. In addition, McDermott also received a prepetition retainer of \$761,951.00.

7. McDermott previously filed four regular monthly fee applications: the *First Monthly Fee Application of McDermott Will & Emery, LLP, Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors-In-Possession, For Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expense* on October 9, 2023 (doc. 348); the *Second Application for Compensation* on November 10, 2023 (doc. 502); the *Third Monthly Fee Application of McDermott Will & Emery LLP, Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors-In-Possession, for Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses* on November 27, 2023 (doc. 532); and the *Fourth Monthly Fee Application of McDermott Will & Emery LLP, Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors-In-Possession, for Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses* on December 15, 2023 (doc. 574) (collectively “prior Applications”). The UST filed objections to each of the prior Applications (collectively “prior objections”) and as such payment of any of McDermott’s fees has not been approved. None of the UST’s objections have been heard by the Court or otherwise resolved, and there are no orders entered by the Court allowing payment of any fees beyond the Interim Compensation Order at docket 224. Therefore, many of the issues and objections remain the same. As stated in the UST’s prior objections, resolution of these matters should proceed expeditiously so all attorneys billing in this matter may conform their practices to the expectations of this Court.

8. The UST hereby incorporates all prior factual development and argument made in the prior objections at docket numbers 467, 529, 564, and 608.

9. The fees in the Fifth Monthly Application were incurred between December 1, 2023, through December 31, 2023. The total amount of fees incurred for the Application period is \$266,085.50 and \$1,682.39 in expenses. Pursuant to the Interim Compensation Order, McDermott is seeking \$212,868.40 as 80% of the total fees incurred and 100% of the expenses. Payment for fees and expense is sought pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 330 and 331.

10. The Application includes a footnote noting “written-off” fees and expenses of \$10,708.10 although none of the written-off entries are included in the Application.

### **ARGUMENT**

11. The UST filed Objections to McDermott’s First, Second, Third, and Fourth Fee Applications, but the issues raised have not yet been decided. Many of the same issues persist in the Fifth Application. The UST incorporates those prior arguments as if fully stated herein.

12. Describing itself as having extensive bankruptcy and restructuring experience, as well as experience in virtually all other aspects of law that may arise in these cases, McDermott continues to seek payment of fees multiple times greater than any other party in this case. However, noting that “every dollar expended on legal fees results in a dollar less that is available for distribution to creditors or use by debtor,” the UST continues to look closely at all fee applications filed in these jointly administered cases. *In re Pettibone Corp.*, 74 B.R. 293, 299-300 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1987) (internal citations omitted). The current application yet again reflects the pattern of a number of attorneys all billing to complete the same tasks, including a number of partners all billing for the same items and to review every document in the case. Presumably, this number of attorneys all

working on the same file has implicated the law of diminishing returns. Nearly eight months into the cases, the continued viability of this practice is a paramount concern.

13. As stated in the UST's prior objections, the Court has the authority to award less than the requested amount if it determines, through the lodestar method of calculation, that the services rendered were not reasonable and necessary to the administration of the estate and represents the applicant's best billing judgment.

14. As stated in the prior objections, McDermott bills at hourly rates substantially higher than any other professional in the case, and the rates far exceed those customary in the Northern District of Iowa. As hourly rates should be set to be commensurate with the experience and expertise, the high hourly rates charged by McDermott invite close scrutiny.

15. The UST continues to note a lack of billing judgment evident in the Fifth Application, as was evident in the prior Applications. A good exercise of billing judgement should result in the Application being reduced to pay only for those attorneys who were actually necessary at each meeting or conference and whose individual expertise actually benefitted the estate. Absent such a showing, the Application award must be reduced.

16. Also, again evident in the Fifth Application is significant overstaffing, resulting in McDermott charging effectively over \$3,000/hour to "review" and stay up-to-date on the daily activities of the case. This duplication of efforts is particularly evident where multiple partner-level attorneys are attending nearly every meeting or settlement negotiation. While the UST understands the entire team of attorneys need to be kept apprised of the status of meetings and negotiations, partner attorneys making well over \$1,000 per hour should be able to complete meetings and tasks without excessive consultation or over-representation.

17. More obvious in the Fifth Application is an excessive amount of time spent researching legal issues. Under *Pothoven*, legal research falls into the firm's overhead, and the costs of such should not be passed on to the client. *In re Pothoven*, 84 B.R. 579 (S.D. Iowa 1988). Surely a firm chosen for its expertise in bankruptcy and healthcare law should not need to be expending dozens of hours researching issues central to the case or to ongoing discovery.

18. Overall, the excessive staffing and billing of the case results in diminished benefit to the bankruptcy estate. Compensation should only be awarded to actions which actually benefit the bankruptcy estate.

19. A number of entries in the Fifth Application continue to be vague, making it impossible to determine if they do in fact benefit the estate. Examples include but are not limited to:

- 12/6/23: F. Perlman billed (1.2) hours on "Work on resolving issues re cyber security and RCM system."
- 12/6/23: D. Simon billed (2.1) hours on "Numerous conferences with client team and consultants re cyber security."
- 12/14/23 D. Simon billed (1.1 ) hours on "Analysis and communications re Altera"
- 12/14/23 N. Bull billed (2.50) hours to "Review and analyze Altera agreement"
- 12/15/23 N. Bull billed (1.50 ) hours to "Conference with J. Haake re Altera dispute and review agreements and correspondence re same".
- 12/15/23: F. Pearlman billed (.6) hours for "Follow up re Altera (.3); follow up re JV sale and H2C (.3)."
- 12/18/23 N. Bull billed (2.00) hours for "Analysis re Altera dispute"
- 12/19/23 J. Kim billed (5.50) hours to "Research and analyze Altera issues and prepare a summary of the same."
- 12/19/23 D. Simon billed (1.50) hours on "Analysis of Altera strategy and issues"
- 12/20/23 F. Perlman billed (0.50) to "Analyze Altera issues"
- 12/22/23: J. Haake billed (1) hour for "Analysis of Altera issues."

20. Because the entries cannot be evaluated for their necessity or usefulness to the estate, a conclusion that they benefitted the estate cannot be made and the Application award must be reduced.

**CONCLUSION**

21. The applicant bears the burden of proving both the reasonableness and necessity of fees requested in the fee application. If a professional fails to meet this burden of proof under 11 U.S.C. §330(a), the bankruptcy court may award less than the amount of compensation that is requested.

22. The McDermott Fifth Fee Application totals \$266,085.50 for fees and \$1,682.39 in expenses for the month of December 2023. The UST submits that the Fee Application is excessive for the reasons stated in this objection.

23. The UST reserves all rights to amend and supplement or modify each portion of this objection.

WHEREFORE, the Acting United States Trustee requests that the Court reduce the Fifth Fee Application by 20% absent further explanation requested and required above and Order McDermott to provide the billing entries for the voluntarily written off charges.

Dated: March 22, 2024

**Mary R. Jensen**  
Acting United States Trustee  
Region 12

By:/s/ Janet G. Reasoner  
**Janet G. Reasoner**  
111 7<sup>th</sup> Ave SE, Box 17  
Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52404  
Ph: (319) 364-2211  
Janet.G.Reasoner@usdoj.gov

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served electronically on parties who receive electronic notice through CM/ECF as listed on CM/ECF's notice of electronic filing dated March 22, 2024.

By: /s/ Jennifer L. Cline  
**Jennifer L. Cline**  
Paralegal Specialist  
United States Trustee's Office